


BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**


Technical Evaluation of firms/ companies


M & R ITEMS (General)

STAGE: 01

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache Fsd | REMARKS |
|---------|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
| 1. | Financial Position / Status i. Income Tax registration certificate ii. Sales tax registration certificate iii. Professional Tax Certificate iv. Bank Statement worth (min 03 million) v. Tax Return for last Year | 15 (03) (03) (03) (03) (03) | 03 03 03 00 00 | 03 03 03 03 03 | 03 03 00 00 03 | 03 03 03 00 03 | |
| 2. | Firm's Experience In The Relevant Field i. 1-3 year's experience ii. 4-8 year's experience iii. 9-15 years & above experience | 15 (09) (12) (15) | 09 | 09 | 09 | 09 | |
| 3. | Overall past performance of the firm/company a) very good b) good c) satisfactory d) No past experience with this institution but the firm has experience with other Govt. institutions. e) unsatisfactory / poor | 15 (15) (12) (10) (09) (00) | 12 | 12 | 10 | 15 | |
| 4. | Technical Staff i. 01 or more employees / owners holding bachelor degree or above. | 05 (05) | 00 | 00 | 05 | 05 | |
| | Qualifying points 60% of total | Total Points = 50 | 30 | 36 | 33 | 41 | |


Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)


Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)


Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 1 of 177

| 1. | | Head Anda Every Color | Best Quality | | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 2 of 177

| 2. | | Ari Blade | | Best Quality | | | |
|----------|---|--|--|--|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| *SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported Poland | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 3 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | 3. Ari Frame Poland | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|---|--|--|--------------------------------|---------|
| | | | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported Poland | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 4 of 177

| 4. | | Beb Cock paragon | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 5 of 177

| 5. | | Bolt cut basin SS | | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 6 of 177

| 6. | | Bend 4" PVC | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 7 of 177

| 7. | | Barrel Nipple 2" china G I | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 8 of 177

| 8. | | C.P Nipple 6", Brass | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 10 of 177

| 10. | | Connection pipe brass bolt 18" | | Best Quality | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 11 of 177

| 11. | | Connection Pipe 24', brass bolt | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 12 of 177

| 12. | | Elbow PPR 32x ½ | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 13 of 177

| 13. | | Flush Tanki (Dawn/Asia) | | Best Quality | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)

Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)

Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 14 of 177

| 14. | | Iron Hook | | Best Quality | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 15 of 177

| 15. | | Jali P-trap SS made | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 16 of 177


| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | 16. Magic Depoxy | | | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| | | | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 17 of 177

| 17. | | Plaster of Paris | | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd - Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 18 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 19 of 177

| 19. | | Pipe 25mm 1000g PPR PN 20 | Best Quality | | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)


BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)


STAGE: 02


Page 20 of 177

| 20. | | Pipe 4" PVC | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.


Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 21 of 177

| 21. | | Pipe PVC 3" | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 22 of 177

| 22. | | Pipe Medium 1 1/4" PVC | Best Quality | | | | |
|---------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 23 of 177

| 23. | | Pipe 1" Medium PVC PPR | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 24 of 177

| 24. | | Spindle 14" Full length | | (Hakeem)Best Quality | | | |
|---------|--|---|----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Hakeem | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Silabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 25 of 177

| 25. | | Tee 2" PVC | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 26 of 177

| 26. | | Tephlon Tape(Mishoo) | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 27 of 177

| 27. | | T Cock Brass | | | Best Quality | | |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 28 of 177

| 28. | | Union 2" GI | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 29 of 177

| 29. | | Union ½ “ GI | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 30 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| 30. | Basin waste pipe with Complein Nephlo | | Best Quality | | | |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | | | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | | | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | | | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 31 of 177

| 31. | | Oil Paint All color | | 04 ltr gallon | | | |
|---------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd 04 Ltr (Gallon) | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Happilac Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 32 of 177

| 32. | | Distemper 1 gallon 16 litre | | 16 ltr./Gallon | | | |
|---------|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd 16 Ltr Gallon | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Happilac Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 33 of 177


| 33. | | Samad bond | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 34 of 177

| 34. | | Gillu | | Best Quality | | | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 35 of 177

| 35. | | Wheel large for beds | | 4" Best Quality | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|--|--|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd 4" Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 36 of 177

| 36. | | Wheel small for trolleys/ Corts | | 2" Best Quality | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|--|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd 2" Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 37 of 177

| 37. | | Wheel for stretcher trolleys | | 3" Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|---|--|--|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd 3" Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 38 of 177

| 38. | | Silicon | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 39 of 177

| 39. | | Silicon gun imported | | Best Quality | | | REMARKS |
|--------------------------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| Total points = 50 | | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 40 of 177

| 40. | | Slim Shower master | | Best Quality | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)

Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)

Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 41 of 177

| 41. | | Side Pillar cock single,Aqua | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 42 of 177

| 42. | | Beb cock Double,Brass | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 43 of 177

| 43. | | Hockey pipe | | | Best Quality | | |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR: NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 44 of 177

| 44. | | CP Nipple 2" Brass | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 45 of 177

| 45. | | CP Nipple 5" Brass | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 46 of 177

| 46. | | Gate wall PPR 40 mm | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 47 of 177

| 47. | | IFO Comode saffen 02 pcs | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 48 of 177

| 48. | | Beb cock Aqua | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 49 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Pak of 10 BQ | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|---|--|--------------------------------|---------|
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 50 of 177

| 50. | | IFO seat Comode cover | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid al' Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 51 of 177

| 51. | | Basin Compien with Nut ss | | Best Quality | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 52 of 177

| 52. | | Connection Washle | | Pack of 100 nos. Best Quality | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|--|--|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Pack of 100 Nos | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 53 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)

Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)

Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 54 of 177

| 54. | | Verma Set 14 mm | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 55 of 177

| 55. | | Screw Starex Tools | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 56 of 177

| 56. | | Elbow PPR 1.5" | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 57 of 177

| 57. | | Disk Grinder Diamond 7" | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)

Mr. Abid al Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)

Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

| 58. | | Disk grinder 1mili | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 59 of 177

| 59. | | Moss set 3/4" | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

TENDERS 2022-23

Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 60 of 177

| 60. | | Moss set ½ ” | | | Best Quality | | |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

TENDERS 2022-23

Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 61 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | 61. Moss Handle | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| | | | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 62 of 177

| 62. | | Tee UPVC 4" | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

| 63. | | Union PPR 32, 1/2 " | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 64 of 177

| 64. | | Wood Cutting Ari | | Best Quality | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 65 of 177

| 65. | | Chenni Large size | | Best Quality | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 66 of 177

| 66. | | Plumber Pipe Cutter 40 mm PPR | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 67 of 177

| 67. | | Screw Driver | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

TENDERS 2022-23

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 68 of 177

| 68. | | Handle wall ¾" | | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

| 69. | | Brass Neck Long | | | Best Quality | | |
|---------|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 70 of 177

| 70. | | Ball wall 25 mm | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

TENDERS 2022-23

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 71 of 177

| 71. | | Ball wall 32 mm | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital-Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 72 of 177

| 72. | | Jhamboor | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported INDIA | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

TENDERS 2022-23

Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 73 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 74 of 177

| 74. | | Tee Iron 2.5" | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 75 of 177

| 75. | | Plumber Pipe Cutter 90 mm PPR | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 76 of 177

| 76. | | Cone Washle | | Pack of 100 Washle | | | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|---|--|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Pack of 100 Washle | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 77 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Pack of 100 Washle | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | REMARKS |
|---------|--|--|---|--|--------------------------------|---------|
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 78 of 177

| 78. | | Connection Pipe 36" Brass Bolt | | B/Q | | | |
|---------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 79 of 177

| 79. | | Bend UPVC 1,1/4" | | B/Q | | | |
|---------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)

Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)

Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 80 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 81 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 82 of 177

| 82. | | Gotti Set Complete | | | B/Q | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 83 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 84 of 177

| 84. | | Joint slip plas fuking | | B/Q | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 85 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 86 of 177

| 86. | | Magnetic screw driver complete | | B/Q | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02


Page 87 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 88 of 177

| 88. | | Plumber Heater | | | B/Q | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)

Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 89 of 177

| 89. | | Sunni MS GI | | B/Q | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 90 of 177

| 90. | | Elfi 100 g | | | B/Q | | |
|---------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 91 of 177

| 91. | | Basin Complein | | B/Q | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 92 of 177

| 92. | | WD 40 Spray 16 Oz/ 1 pound | | B/Q | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 93 of 177

| 93. | | 16 mm Single Core Greem | | Azmat/Copper gat or Equivelent | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|--|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Azmat/cooper gate | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Azmat Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ahi Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 94 of 177

| 94. | | 2 Pin Light Plug Shoe With Handle | | K.M, Best Quality | | | |
|---------|--|---|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd K.M | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd KM Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 95 of 177

| 95. | | 23/76 Wire Flexible Two Core | | Azmat/Copper gat or Equivelent | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|--|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Azmat Cooper Gate | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd English Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 96 of 177

| 96. | | 3 Pin Light Plug Shoe With Handle | | K.M, Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|--|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd K.M | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd KM Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache KM Pakistan | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 97 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd K.M | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd KM Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache KM Pakistan | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 32 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 98 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Azmat/Cooper Gate | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Azmat Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S. Enterprises & Co. Fsd | REMARKS |
|---------|---|---|--|--|---------------------------------|---------|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 99 of 177

| 99. | | 3/29 Wire Flexible Two Core | | Azmat/Copper gat or Equivelent | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|--|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Azmat/Cooper Gate | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Azmat Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)




Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)


BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)


STAGE: 02

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | Azmat/Copper gat or Equivelent | | | REMARKS |
|---|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|---------|
| | | | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Azmat/Cooper Gate | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd English Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) | 30 | | | | |
| | i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements | (30) | | | | |
| | ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement | (25) | | | | |
| | iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement | (18) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| | iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements | (16) | | | | |
| v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | (00) | | | | | |
| | Experience of the product in the market | 20 | | | | |
| | i) 1-3 years' experience | (14) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | ii) 4-8 years' experience | (16) | | | | |
| | iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | (20) | | | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.


Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)


Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)


Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 101 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd T pi | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd JPI Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|---------|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 102 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Azmat/Cooper Gate | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Azmat Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|--|--|--------------------------------|---------|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 103 of 177

| 103. | | 7/29 Wire Flexible Two Core | | Azmat/Copper gat or Equivelent | | | |
|---------|---|---|--|--|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Azmat/Cooper Gate | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Azmat Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid'ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 104 of 177

| 104. | | 7/36 Wire Flexible Single Core | | Azmat/Copper gat or Equivelent | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|--|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Azmat/Cooper Gate | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Azmat Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 105 of 177

| 105. | | 7/36 Wire Flexible Two Core | | Azmat/Copper gat or Equivelent | | | |
|---------|---|---|--|--|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Azmat/Cooper Gate | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Azmat Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)

Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)

Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 106 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | Local | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-------|---------|
| 106. | Board Electric 6-Hole,Piano Fitting(4 Piano Switch +2 Piano Socket KM,B/Q) | | Local | | | | |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shahabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peds Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 107 of 177

| 107. | | Breaker 06 Amp. Single Pole | | Terasaki | | | |
|---------|--|---|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Terasaki | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Terasaki Japan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache Terasaki Indonesia | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 32 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 108 of 177

| 108. | | Breaker 10 Amp. Single Pole | | Terasaki | | | |
|---------|--|--|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Terasaki | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Terasaki Japan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache Terasaki Indonesia | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 32 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 109 of 177

| 109. | | Breaker 16 Amp. Single Pole | | Terasaki | | | |
|---------|---|--|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Terasaki | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Terasaki Japan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache Terasaki Indonesia | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 32 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 110 of 177

| 110. | | Breaker 20 Amp. 2- Pole | | Terasaki | | | |
|---------|---|---|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Terasaki | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Terasaki Japan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache Terasaki Indonesia | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 32 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid al-Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 111 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Terasaki | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Terasaki Japan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache Terasaki Indonesia | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 32 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 112 of 177

| 112. | | Breaker 32 Amp. 2- Pole | | Terasaki | | | |
|---------|--|---|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Terasaki | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Terasaki Japan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache Terasaki Indonesia | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 32 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02


Page 113 of 177

| 113. | | Breaker Box +Base | | Local | | | REMARKS |
|---------|--|--|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache Pakistan | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 32 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 114 of 177

| 114. | | Breaker Metasole 3-Pole 125 Amp | | Metasole | | | |
|---------|---|--|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Metasole | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache LS Electric Korea | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 32 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid-ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 115 of 177

| 115. | | Bulb Pin Type (Choori Type) 100 watt | | Local | | | |
|---------|---|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 116 of 177

| 116. | | Bulb Pin Type (Choori Type) 200 watt | | | Local | | | |
|---------|---|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|--|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS | |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 117 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | REMARKS |
|---------|---|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 119 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | 119. Capacitor 1.5 μ | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| | | | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Fuji Or Eq | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Fuji or Eq | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 120 of 177

| 120. | | Capacitor 3.5 μ | | Fuji or Eq | | | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Fuji Or Eq. | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Fuji Or Eq | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement . iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)

Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)

Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 121 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 122 of 177

| 122. | | Clump Meter Amp(AC/DC,Voltage) | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 123 of 177

| 123. | | Computer Socket /Universal | | K.M/Heavy | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|---|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd K.M/ Heavy | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Buraq Local Pak | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache KM Pakistan | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 32 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 124 of 177

| 124. | | Digital Amp Meter 96x96(5.5 A) | | | | | |
|---------|--|---|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache ENTES Turkey | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 32 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 125 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Adm jee or Eq | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Adam Jee | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|--|--|--------------------------------|---------|
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 126 of 177

| 126. | | Ducket Patti Clump (small) | | Local | | | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 127 of 177

| 127. | | Electric Bag Pack | | Local | | | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid'ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 128 of 177

| 128. | | Electric Gloves Large | | Local | | | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 129 of 177

| 129. | | EXT Fan Motor 0.18 Amp. | | Local | | | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 130 of 177

| 130. | | Flash Light Large | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid all Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 131 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | 131. Flexible Pipe + Base | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| | | | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 132 of 177

| 132. | | Flexible Pipe 2" | | Local | | | |
|---------|--|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 133 of 177

| 133. | | Flood Light Water Proof 100 Watt | | Philips or Eq | | | |
|---------|---|---|---|--|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Philips or Eq. | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Pak Lite Local Pak | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 134 of 177

| 134. | | Germen Socket Clipsal 16 amp. | | Clipsal or Eq | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|---|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Clipsal or Eq | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Clipsal Imported | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali' Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 135 of 177

| | | |
|------|-----------|-------|
| 135. | Gotti set | Local |
|------|-----------|-------|

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 136 of 177

| 136. | | Hammer large 1.5 kg | | | Total | | |
|---------|---|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Total | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02


Page 137 of 177

| 137. | | Hammer small | | | Total | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Total | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 138 of 177

| 138. | | High Voltage Plier | | Best Quality | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported China | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 139 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | 139. Ket set | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|---|---------------------------------|-----------|---|---------|
| | | | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 140 of 177

| 140. | | Knife Cutter | | | Local | | | |
|---------|--|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

Page 141 of 177

STAGE: 02

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | Local | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| | | | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 142 of 177

| 142. | | LED Bulb (Choori Type) 18 watt | Philips/Pak Lite or Eq | | | | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Philips/Pak Lite Or Eq | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Pak Lite Local Pak | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache Pak Lite Pakistan | |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 32 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 143 of 177

| 143. | | LED Bulb (Choori Type) 40 watt | Philips/Pak Lite or Eq | | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Philips/Pak Lite or Eq | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Pak Lite Local Pak | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache OSAKA Pakistan | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 32 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 144 of 177

| 144. | | LED Bulb 12 watt | | Philips/Pak Lite or Equivelent | | | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Philips/Pak Lite or Eq | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Pak Lite, Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache Pak Lite Pakistan | |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 32 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)

Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)

Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 145 of 177

| 145. | | LED Pannel 2x2 (36 watt) | | Philips or Eq | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|---|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Philips or Eq | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Pak Lite, Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache Philips Pakistan | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 32 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 146 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | K.M/Heavy, Best Quality | | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|---|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| | | | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd K.M Heavy | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd KM. Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache KM Pakistan | |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 32 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 147 of 177

| 147. | | Light Plug 1x5 Hi-Life | Bush Hi-Life or Eq | | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|--|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Bush Hi-Life | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Bush Hilife, Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 148 of 177


| 148. | | Measure Tape 100 Meter | | Best Quality | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 149 of 177

| 149. | | Measure Tape 16 Meter | | Best Quality | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)

Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)

Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 150 of 177

| 150. | | Micrometer for Conductor size | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 151 of 177

| 151. | | Multi Shoe for computer | | Local | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache KM Pakistan | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 32 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 152 of 177

| 152. | | Needle –Nose Plier | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|--|---|--|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 153 of 177

| 153. | | Nitto Tape 18mmx10yard | | Local | | | |
|---------|--|--|------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache OSAKA Pakistan | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 32 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)

Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)

Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 154 of 177

| 154. | | One way Socket Hi-Life | | Bush Hi-Life or Eq | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|---|--|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Bush Hi-Life | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Bush Hi Life Pak | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 155 of 177

| 155. | | One way Switch Bush Hi-Life | | Bush Hi-Life or Eq | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|---|---|--|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Bush Hi-Life | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Bush Hi Life Pak | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 156 of 177

| 156. | | Over load Relay 5~60/70 Am ,180-480 AC | | Scindler or Eq ^s | | | |
|---------|---|---|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Scindler | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache Schnieder | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 32 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 157 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 158 of 177

| 158. | | Panna set | | Local | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 159 of 177

| 159. | | Piano Holder (Churri Type) | | Local or Eq | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache KM Pakistan | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 32 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 160 of 177

| 160. | | Piano Holder (Churri Type) Jumbo Holder | | Local or Eq | | | |
|---------|--|---|------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache KM Pakistan | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 32 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid aif Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 161 of 177

| 161. | | Piano one way socket | | K.M or Eq | | | |
|---------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd K.M Or Eq | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache KM Pakistan | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> | <u>30</u> | | | | | |
| | i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements | (30) | | | | | |
| | ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement | (25) | | | | | |
| | iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement | (18) | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 | |
| | iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements | (16) | | | | | |
| | v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | (00) | | | | | |
| | <u>Time taken to supply of the product in the market</u> | <u>20</u> | | | | | |
| | i) 1-3 years' experience | (14) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | ii) 4-8 years' experience | (16) | | | | | |
| | iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | (20) | | | | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 32 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd KM OR EQ | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache KM Pakistan | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 32 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | 163. Power Plug | | | REMARKS |
|---------|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|---------|
| | | | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd KM OR EQ | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | |
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

TENDERS 2022-23

Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 164 of 177


| 164. | | Power Plug Bush Hi-Life | | Bush Hi-Life or Eq | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|---|---|--|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Bush Hi-Life | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Bush Hi Life Pak | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 165 of 177

| 165. | | Power Plug Fico | | Fico or Eq | | | |
|---------|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Fico or Eq | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 166 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | Local | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| | | | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 167 of 177

| 167. | | Screw driver set large | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|---|---|--|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Best Quality | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)

Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)

Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

| 168. | | Star Set | | Best Quality | | | |
|---------|---|---|------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd BQ | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Imported Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | 169. Tester | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| | | | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 170 of 177

| 170. | Wall Box 4x4" | Local | | | | |
|---------|---|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 172 of 177

| 172. | | Wire Clump 3/29 | | Local | | | |
|---------|--|--|------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | REMARKS |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products
M & R Items (GENERAL)


STAGE: 02

Page 173 of 177


| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | REMARKS |
|---------|---|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------|
| 1. | Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | |
| 2. | Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.


ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-23
 Technical Evaluation of products
 M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 174 of 177

| 174. | | Wire Cutter (small+large) | | Best Quality | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|---|------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd BQ | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | 30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | 20 (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 175 of 177

| 175. | | Wire Strippers | | Best Quality | | | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd BQ | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd Local Pakistan | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | | |

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

M & R Items (GENERAL)

STAGE: 02

Page 177 of 177

| SR. NO. | EVALUATION CRITERIA | POINTS | M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd Local | M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises Fsd | M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. Fsd | M/S: I Cache D.P Light Single Pole Breaker | REMARKS |
|---------|---|--|--|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---------|
| 177. | Distribution Box. | | L 26"x20" D.P Light 03 Per D.B, Digital Volt Meter 03 Per D.B, Single Pole Breaker 32 Amp, 06 Per D.B Three Pole Breaker 100 Amp 01 Per D.B Three Pole Breaker 225 Amp 01 Per D.B With Complete wiring | | | | |
| 1. | <u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected) | <u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00) | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 | |
| 2. | <u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience | <u>20</u> (14) (16) (20) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| | Total points = 50 | Qualifying points = 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 32 | |



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)